

HEAVY MESON MASSES AND DECAY CONSTANTS¹

JONATHAN L. ROSNER

*Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics, University of Chicago
5640 S. Ellis Ave., Chicago, IL 60637, USA*

ABSTRACT

Masses and decay constants of mesons containing a single c or b quark are described within the framework of heavy-quark symmetry. The $B_s^* - B_s$ and $\bar{B}^{*0} - \bar{B}^0$ mass splittings are found equal to within a fraction of an MeV. Decay constants of D and B mesons are estimated using isospin mass splittings in the D , D^* , B , and B^* states to isolate the electromagnetic hyperfine interaction between quarks. A relation following from the use of splittings in kaons is also considered.

1. Introduction

Mesons containing one heavy quark (c, b) are of fundamental importance for the understanding of the strong interactions, since they consist of a single light quark bound to a nearly static source of color. We describe here some recent work on the masses [1] and decay constants [2] of such mesons.

Results on heavy meson masses come from an expansion to first order in α , first order in light-quark masses (m_u, m_d, m_s), and first order in $1/m_Q$, where Q is a heavy quark. We predict one new relation: The photons in $B_s^* \rightarrow B_s \gamma$ and $B^{*0} \rightarrow B^0 \gamma$ should have equal energies.

Decay constants of heavy mesons are crucial for interpreting data on particle-antiparticle mixing in the neutral B meson system, and for anticipating and interpreting new signatures for CP violation. We describe a method for determination of these constants which relies on the isospin splittings of the D , D^* , B , and B^* mesons. We also consider a relation following from the use of splittings in kaons. The isospin splittings allow one to extract the contributions of the spin-dependent electromagnetic interaction between light and heavy quarks. Additional assumptions about quark masses are required in order to interpret these contributions in terms of decay constants.

2. Masses of D and B mesons

The most general mass operator containing contributions of first order in (a) light quark masses m_q , (b) electromagnetic interactions, and (c) $1/m_Q$, including terms of order m_q/m_Q and α/m_Q , contains 11 terms, not counting ones which can be absorbed into heavy quark masses [1]. One result of this expansion is the familiar

¹ Presented at DPF 92 Meeting, Fermilab, November, 1992.

relation between the strong hyperfine splitting between the 3S_1 and 1S_0 D and B states, which says that ΔM^2 should be approximately the same for the two systems. Aside from this result, we find one new prediction:

$$[B_s^{*+} - B_s] - [\bar{B}^{*0} - \bar{B}^0] = (m_c/m_b)([D_s^* - D_s] - [D^{*+} - D^+]) \quad , \quad (1)$$

where here and below symbols stand for particle masses. Since $D_s^* - D_s = 141.5 \pm 1.9$ MeV [3] and $D^{*+} - D^+ = 140.64 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.06$ MeV [4], we expect the right-hand side of this relation to be about 0.3 ± 0.6 MeV. A recent study suggests that the smallness of the result could be due to accidental cancellation, and that there could be additional contributions of up to a few MeV from effects of higher order in $1/m_Q$ [5].

3. Predictions for decay constants

In the nonrelativistic formula

$$f_M^2 = \frac{12|\Psi(0)|^2}{M_M^2} \quad (2)$$

we seek an estimate of $\Psi(0)$, the nonrelativistic wave function at zero separation of the light and heavy quark. This may be obtained in a constituent quark model from the contribution of electromagnetic hyperfine splitting to meson masses. Specifically, in the limit in which the wave functions of a light quark bound to a c and b quark are the same,

$$\Delta(D) \equiv (D^+ - D^0) - (D^{*+} - D^{*0}) = a + \frac{8\pi\alpha Q_c}{3m_u m_c} |\Psi(0)|^2 \quad , \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta(B) \equiv (\bar{B}^0 - B^-) - (\bar{B}^{*0} - B^{*-}) = \frac{m_c}{m_b} a + \frac{8\pi\alpha Q_b}{3m_u m_b} |\Psi(0)|^2 \quad . \quad (4)$$

Here a denotes the effects of $m_u \neq m_d$ in the color hyperfine interaction and of spin-dependent light-quark electromagnetic self-energies. Now, while we know [4] that $\Delta(D) = 4.80 \pm 0.11$ MeV, the corresponding value [6] for B mesons, $\Delta(B) = 0.12 \pm 0.58$ MeV, is too poorly known to allow us to separate the effects of a and $|\Psi(0)|^2$. In Fig. 1 we show the dependence of predicted decay constants on $\Delta(B)$.

In order to proceed further we use a trick motivated by a result of Cohen and Lipkin [8] which appeals to the similarity between the kaon and B systems. We define

$$\Sigma(B) \equiv (\bar{B}^{*0} + B^{*-}) - (\bar{B}^0 + B^-) \quad , \quad (5)$$

with similar definitions [cf. (4)] for $\Delta(K)$ and $\Sigma(K)$. We then estimate

$$\Delta(B) = \Delta(K)\Sigma(B)/\Sigma(K) = (-0.06 \pm 0.04) \text{ MeV} \quad . \quad (6)$$

As a result, we can separate out the electromagnetic hyperfine term in (2) and (3), finding

$$|\Psi(0)|^2 = (13.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^3 \quad , \quad f_D^{(0)} = (290 \pm 15) \text{ MeV} \quad , \quad f_B^{(0)} = (177 \pm 9) \text{ MeV} \quad . \quad (7)$$

Figure 1: Decay constants $f_D^{(0)}$ (solid curve) and $f_B^{(0)}$ (dashed curve) predicted by the nonrelativistic formula (2) as functions of difference $\Delta(B)$ in isospin splittings between B^* and B mesons. The horizontal line with the arrow pointing downward denotes the upper limit of Ref. [7] on f_D .

4. Comparison with experiment

The Mark III Collaboration finds $B(D \rightarrow \mu\nu) \times 10^{-4}$ (90% c.l.), corresponding to $f_D < 290$ MeV. The lowest-order result (7) obtained suggests that f_D may be close to its present upper limit, so a search for $D \rightarrow \mu\nu$ (e.g., through the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(3770) \rightarrow D^+D^-$ at the Beijing Electron Synchrotron) should prove fruitful.

It may be possible, for example at CLEO, to look for the decay $D \rightarrow \mu\nu$ by tagging a $D^\pm$ using the reaction $D^{*\pm} \rightarrow \pi^0 D^\pm$, since the π^0 is very soft in the D^* or D center-of-mass system, and helps to label the frame of the decaying D . The signal will show up in a characteristic band of $m(\pi^0\mu)$. One will probably need additional kinematic information to reduce backgrounds (e.g., from semileptonic decays).

Recent evidence for the reaction $D_s \rightarrow \mu\nu$ in emulsion [9] rests on the observation of a muon beyond the kinematic endpoint for semileptonic decays of D^+ and D_s . A search for $D_s \rightarrow \mu\nu$ using the information from the photon in $D_s^* \rightarrow D_s\gamma$ is possible in principle [10]. One needs additional jet or missing energy information.

The WA75 result [9], $f_{D_s} = 232 \pm 69$ MeV (based on 7 events above background) may be used indirectly to estimate corrections of order $1/m_Q$ to the lowest-order formula (2). First we estimate $|\Psi(0)|_D^2$ using the approximate equality of strong hyperfine splittings in the D and D_s systems, which implies that

$$|\Psi(0)|_D^2/m_u m_c = |\Psi(0)|_{D_s}^2/m_s m_c \quad . \quad (8)$$

With $m_u/m_s = 310$ MeV/485 MeV, we then estimate from the observed value of f_{D_s} that $f_D = 190 \pm 57$ MeV. If the discrepancy with our lowest-order prediction is ascribed to $1/m_Q$ corrections, we may write $f_D = f_D^{(0)}(1 - [\Delta/m_D])$, implying $\Delta/m_D = 0.35 \pm 0.20$ and hence $\Delta/m_B = 0.13 \pm 0.07$ or $f_B = f_B^{(0)}(1 - [\Delta/m_B]) = (154 \pm 17)$ MeV. QCD corrections probably raise this value by about 10%. The most recent range of lattice gauge theory values quoted at this conference [11] puts f_B in the range between 175 and 200 MeV and f_D just slightly above 200 MeV. A value of f_B of about 170 MeV is entirely compatible with recent fits to parameters of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix and $B - \bar{B}$ mixing data.

5. Acknowledgements

I thank Jim Amundson, Nahmin Horwitz, Mike Kelly, Sheldon Stone, and Mark Wise for collaboration on some of the topics mentioned here, and Glenn Boyd and Harry Lipkin for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the United States Department of Energy under grant No. DE AC02 90ER40560.

6. References

1. J. L. Rosner and M. B. Wise, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, January, 1993, to be published.
2. J. F. Amundson, Jonathan L. Rosner, M. A. Kelly, N. Horwitz, and S. L. Stone, Enrico Fermi Institute report EFI-92-31-Rev., September, 1992, submitted to *Phys. Rev. D*.
3. K. Hikasa *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Rev. D* **46** (1992) S1.
4. D. Bortoletto *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 2046.
5. L. Randall and E. Sather, MIT report MIT-CTP#2166, November, 1992 (unpublished).
6. C. Bebek *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **36** (1987) 1289; **45** (1992) 21; H. Albrecht *et al.* (ARGUS Collaboration), *Zeit. Phys. C* **48** (1990) 543.
7. J. Adler *et al.* (Mark III Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** (1988) 1375.
8. I. Cohen and H. J. Lipkin, *Phys. Lett.* **84B** (1978) 323.

9. S. Aoki *et al.* (WA75 Collaboration), CERN report CERN-PPE/92-157, September, 1992 (unpublished).
10. S. Stone, private communication.
11. S. Sharpe, invited talk, this conference.